

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/634,629	SIRACKI, MICHAEL A.
	Examiner Kenneth Thompson	Art Unit 3672

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

**Status of Application:** Claims amended in response to non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeffrey S. Bergman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2005

**Time:** 1:30

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's Attorney and the Examiner agreed to amend the preambles to provide antecedent for the cone.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)